PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 4 FEB 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 63263B | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/US2004/032535 | International filing date (day/month) 04.10.2004 | year) Priority date (day/month/year) 10.10.2003 | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or na B01J29/00, C08K9/08, C08K9/00 | attonal classification and IPC | | |
| Applicant DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES I | NC. et al. | : | |
| Authority under Article 35 and trai | nsmitted to the applicant according | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | | |
| 3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: | | | |
| a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: | | | |
| sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). | | | |
| sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. | | | |
| b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). | | | |
| | | | |
| 4. This report contains indications re | elating to the following items: | | |
| ☐ Box No. I Basis of the op | inion | | |
| ☐ Box No. II Priority | | • | |
| ☐ Box No. III Non-establishn | nent of opinion with regard to nov | elty, inventive step and industrial applicability | |
| ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of | | | |
| ☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; ci | ement under Article 35(2) with re- tations and explanations supporti | gard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ng such statement | |
| ☐ Box No. VI Certain docum | | | |
| 1 | in the international application | | |
| ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ | ations on the international applica | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | Date of | completion of this report | |
| | | | |
| 15.07.2005 | 13.02 | 2006 | |
| Name and mailing address of the internation preliminary examining authority: | nat Authori | zed Officer | |
| European Patent Office | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 | | | |
| Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | Telepho | one No. +49 89 2399- | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/032535

| _ | Box No. I Basis of the report | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in w filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | |
| | This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: | | | |
| | ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) | | | |
| 2. | With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i> | | | |
| Description, Pages | | | | |
| | 1-9 | as originally filed | | |
| Claims, Numbers | | | | |
| | 1-20 | as originally filed | | |
| | Drawings, Sheets | | | |
| | 1/6-6/6 | as originally filed | | |
| | a sequence listing and/or an | y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing | | |
| 3. | ☐ The amendments have resu | ulted in the cancellation of: | | |
| | ☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos. | | | |
| | ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): | | | |
| | any table(s) related to se | equence listing (specify): | | |
| 4. | . This report has been establ had not been made, since they l Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)) | ished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the). | | |
| | ☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs | | | |
| | the sequence listing (specific any table(s) related to se | ecify): | | |
| | . TE item 4 ampling of | ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded." | | |



International application No. PCT/US2004/032535

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1,6

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1,6

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-20

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

PCT/US2004/032535

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 97/31057 A (THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY) 28 August 1997 (1997-

D2: US-A-5 583 082 (PINNAVAIA ET AL) 10 December 1996 (1996-12-10)

1 Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

Present Main Claims 1 and 6 describe a composite made of exfoliated clay in a carbonised matrix and a related method.

D1 discloses exfoliated clay in a polymer matrix which may be annealed but not carbonised (cf. p.14, par.2 and claims 1,8,18-20).

D2 discloses an inorganic pillared clay composite. Its preparation involves an organic precursor which, in contradiction to Claim 1, does not appear to form a matrix (cf. example 2, claims 1,7,8,13).

2 Inventive Step (Article 33(3) PCT)

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claims 1 and 6. The subject-matter of claims 1 and 6 differs from this in that the polymer matrix has been subjected to carbonisation. As a technical effect, process advantages may be concluded from the discussion in the present Description (see e.g. p.1, 3rd par.). None of D1 and/or D2 suggest the present solution to the skilled person.

Present Claims 1 and 6 thus appear to involve Inventive Step.